MSC INTERNAL NOTE NO. 67-FM-158

October 23, 1967

10, OCT 30 1968

### AS-503A/504 REQUIREMENTS FOR THE RTCC: PREFLIGHT INFORMATION

By Donald R. Sellers Mission Analysis Branch

TECHNICAL LIBRARY

PETALOGIA, INC.

955 L'Enfant Plaza North, S.W.,
Washington, D. C. 20024

MISSION PLANNING AND ANALYSIS DIVISION



MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER HOUSTON, TEXAS

(NASA-TM-X-69437) AS-503A/504 REQUIREMENTS FOR THE RTCC: PREFLIGHT INFORMATION (NASA) 28 p N74-70635

Unclas 00/99 16190

#### PROJECT APOLLO

## AS-503A/504 REQUIREMENTS FOR THE RTCC: PREFLIGHT INFORMATION

By Donald R. Sellers Mission Analysis Branch

October 23, 1967

# MISSION PLANNING AND ANALYSIS DIVISION NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER HOUSTON, TEXAS

Approved:

M. P. Frank III, Chief

Mission Analysis Branch

Approved:

John P (Mayer, Chief

Mission Planning and Analysis Division

AS-503A/504 REQUIREMENTS FOR THE RTCC: PREFLIGHT INFORMATION

#### By Donald R. Sellers

#### SUMMARY

This document presents a preliminary definition of the preflight information required for direct support of the Real-Time Computer Complex (RTCC) program. The information documented here applies only to those processors formulated by the Mission Analysis Branch (MAB) for missions AS-503A and AS-504. The processors for which preflight information has been defined include

- 1. Translunar Injection Processor
- 2. Midcourse Correction Processor
- 3. Return-to-Earth Processor
- 4. Reentry Processor

Not included in this document are the preflight numbers associated with Lunar Orbit Insertion Processor and the S-IVB burn model, both of which are currently in the process of formulation.

The text contains a complete description of the aggregate and a definitive breakdown of the quantities applicable to each processor. It is strongly emphasized that this definition of the preflight information is preliminary.

#### INTRODUCTION

This section contains a definition and analysis of the RTCC preflight information. For clarity and convenience, the RTCC preflight data is broken down into four main groupings.

Parameters. A parameter is defined as a quantity which varies during a launch window. The parameters defined in this note are functions of launch azimuth, injection opportunity, and launch day. Each parameter, since it is a function of several variables, is stored in tablelookup form. The exact number of numerical quantities contained in a

table is entirely dependent on the sensitivity of the parameters.

Constants. - A constant is defined as a numerical quantity which remains constant throughout a given launch window.

<u>Coefficients</u>.- Several polynomials are included in the RTCC formulation of the MAB programs. The coefficients associated with these polynomials are stored preflight.

Numerical Constants.— There are two groups of numerical constants stored in table-lookup forms. These numbers define the reentry target lines for the Return-to-Earth Processor and specify the final phase reference trajectory for the Reentry Processor.

#### SYMBOLS

RTCC	Real-Time Computer Complex
MAB	Mission Analysis Branch
ACR	Auxiliary Computer Room
TLI	translunar injection
MCC	midcourse correction
DPS	descent propulsion system
LM	lunar module
roi	lunar orbit insertion
ASPO	Apollo Spacecraft Project Office
LMDS	Lunar Mission Design Section
MAS	Maneuver Analysis Section
LTS	Lunar Trajectory Section

#### ASSUMPTIONS

Three basic assumptions were made to determine the size of the preflight data tables. These assumptions were determined for a worst possible case in order to maximize the number of quantities required for preflight storage.

- 1. a 26° band of launch azimuths
- 2. 3 injection opportunities per launch day
- 3. a maximum number of 5 launch days per month.

By assuming as an upper bound the maximum stipulated above, the preflight storage for realistic assumptions should never exceed the maximum number of storage spaces allotted. Based on these assumptions, 5539 numerical quantities will be needed preflight to support MAB programs. The table below shows the numerical sum associated with each grouping.

	Numerical quantities
Parameters (45)	4758
Constants (112)	122
Polynomial coefficients	434
Numerical constants (2 sets)	225
TOTAL	5539

It should be noted that only the parameters will change if the basic assumptions listed above are redefined. Obviously, these assumptions will vary, and the actual size of the parameter tables may depend entirely on which month the launch takes place. In contrast, the remaining three groups remain constant after final definition of the RTCC formulation.

A substantial reduction in the total sum can be attained with realistic dimensioning of the parameters. In comparison test case, dimensioning of the parameters was made in accordance with the following launch window configuration:

- 1. a 26° range of launch azimuths
- 2. two injection opportunities, and
- 3. 3 launch days per month.

These assumptions reduced the number of the parameters from 4758 to 2751 quantities. The remaining three groups were unchanged. This reduced the total preflight information requirements from 5539 to 3532 quantities.

The remainder of this note describes the preflight information for each processor. The initial basic assumptions are used to dimension the parameters. Consequently, the parameter tables will reflect the maximum requirement.

#### TRANSLUNAR INJECTION AND MIDCOURSE CORRECTION PROCESSORS 1

This section describes in detail the parameters, coefficients, and constants associated with the Translumar Injection (TLI) and Midcourse Correction (MCC) Processors. Most of the preflight data defined in this section is used by both processors; consequently, both processors are treated as a single entity. A definition of the individual parameters and constants is presented in table I.

The TLI and MCC processors require the largest share of the preflight data, 3631 quantities. The sum associated with each grouping is shown below.

• •	Numerical quantities
Parameters (23)	3298
Constants	14
Coefficients	319
TOTAL	3631

The parameters, constants, and coefficients can also be categorized functionally. The following table lists the functional categories and their requirements.

		Numerical quantities
I.	Nodal targeting (x,y,z, and t)	1560
II.	Targeting objectives and first guesses	1050
III.	Full-mission select mode	540

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The RTCC formulation for the TLI and MCC processors is described in references 1 and 2.

IV.	Polynomial constraint on DPS	35
v.	Maximum $\Delta T_{\mathrm{TLI-pc}}$ constraint	90
VI.	Earth orbit insertion state vector	24
VII.	TLI polynomials <sup>2</sup>	268
VIII.	Other parameters	50
IX.	Constants	14
	TOTAL	3631

The remainder of this section briefly describes each category and delineates precisely the proposed dimensioning of each parameter.

I. <u>Nodal Targeting</u>. Mildly dispersed translunar trajectories can be corrected back to the nominal flight plan through implementation of the XYZ midcourse mode. This mode targets the spacecraft to pass through the node formed by the planes of the nominal approach hyperbola and the desired lunar parking orbit at the nominal arrival time. A definition of the parameters itemized below is given in table I.

Parameters:  $T_{nd}$ ,  $\phi_{nd}$ ,  $\lambda_{nd}$ ,  $R_{nd}$ 

Dimensions per parameter: 26 discrete launch azimuths

3 injection opportunities

5 launch days

Requirements: 1560 numbers

II. <u>Targeting Objectives and First Guesses</u>. A group of parameters, including the launch vehicle targeting objectives, are used to compute the first guesses for the full-mission optimization sequences from earth parking orbit and the translunar coast trajectory. The chart below classifies the parameters.

	h pc	φ <sub>pc</sub>	I fr	TLI ign	Tpc	λ <sub>pc</sub>	R P
LV targeting objectives	✓	√	√	<b>V</b>			
Parameters used to com- pute TLI first guesses	✓	<b>√</b>	✓			✓	√
Parameters used to compute translunar midcourse (TIMC) first guesses	✓	√	√		✓	√	

The RTCC TLI polynomials are described in reference 3.

Parameters: hpc, pc, Ifr, TLI ign, Tpc, \(\lambda\_{pc}\), Rp

Dimensions per parameter: 10 discrete azimuths

3 injection opportunities

5 launch days

Requirements: 1050 numbers

III. <u>Full-mission select mode</u>. The objective of the full-mission select mode is to select a lunar trajectory which satisfies the constraints of the nominal guesses enabling the select mode to determine the values which satisfy trajectory profile objectives.

Parameters:  $\gamma_{LOI}$ ,  $\Delta \psi_{LOI}$ ,  $\Delta T_{LLS}$ ,  $T_{LO}$ ,  $\Delta \psi_{TEI}$ ,  $\Delta V_{TEI}$ 

Dimensions per parameter: 6 discrete azimuths

3 injection opportunities

5 launch days

Requirements: 540 numbers

IV. Polynomial constraint on DPS abort. For nonfree-return trajectories, a constraint is in the process of development to assure LM/DPS return-to-earth abort capability for backup in case of an SPS failure at LOI. This constraint will be implemented in the form of a polynominal and is a function of inclination at pericynthion and trip time from TLI to pericynthion. One polynomial will be required for each launch day, and each polynomial is expected to require seven coefficients.

Number of polynomials: 5

Number of coefficients: 7

Requirements: 35 numbers

V. MAX  $\Delta T_{TLI-pc}$ . The lunar mission requirements constrain the lunar touchdown to occur within specified sun elevation angles. The purpose of the maximum trip time constraint is to assure that the lunar landing will occur within the sun elevation constraints.

Parameter: MAX  $\Delta T_{TLI-pc}$ 

Dimensions per parameter: 6 discrete azimuths

3 injection opportunities

5 launch days

Requirements: 90 numbers

VI. Earth Orbit Insertion State Vector. Since a complete simulation of the Saturn V launch from lift-off to EOI has been deleted from RTCC requirements, it is necessary to supply the RTCC with the nominal EOI state vector for premission planning. The information defining the state vector will be stored in the form of three constants, the associated coefficients for four polynomials, and one parameter. The polynomials will determine the following quantities:

- 1. delta time ( $\Delta T$ ) from lift-off to EOI
- 2. inertial azimuth  $(\psi_{eoi})$  at EOI
- 3. declination  $(\phi_{eoi})$  at EOI
- 4. longitude ( $\lambda_{eoi}$ ) at EOI

Each polynomial requires four coefficients. The single parameter, reference time of lift-off  $(T_{LO})$ , is a function of launch day only.

Constants:  $R_{EOI}$ ,  $V_{EOI}$ ,  $\gamma_{EOI}$ 

Polynomials: 4

Coefficients per polynomial: 4

Parameter: 1 (function of launch day only)

Requirements: 24 numbers

VII.  $\underline{\text{TLI polynomials}}$ . These polynomials provide empirical simulations of the TLI maneuver for both nominal and alternate missions. The coefficients are stored in the RTCC preflight. A brief description of the polynomials is provided in table II.

Polynomials: 10

Coefficients: 238

Requirements: 238 numbers

VIII. Other parameters dimensioned. Five parameters remain which cannot be functionally categorized. Four of these parameters are a function of launch day only and the single parameter is a function of launch azimuth and launch day. Table I provides a definition of the parameters.

Parameters (4):  $\phi_{lls}$ ,  $\psi_{lls}$ ,  $\lambda_{lls}$ ,  $\theta_{eo}$ 

Dimensions per parameter: 5 launch days

Parameter (1): Tmsn

Dimensions per parameter: 6 discrete azimuths

5 launch days

Requirements: 50 numbers

IX. Constants. Fourteen constants exist which cannot be relegated to any single functional category. These constants are defined in table I.

Constants: 11 numbered 27-37 in table I

Requirements: 11 numbers

The preflight data outlined above are generated by the various sections in MAB. Most of the preflight information is generated from data in the launch vehicle and spacecraft reference and operational trajectories. The launch vehicle reference trajectory is available 7 months prior to launch; the operational trajectory, 3 to 5 months prior to launch. The spacecraft reference trajectory is available about 10 months prior to launch, and the operational trajectory about 3 months prior to launch. However, a complete update of the spacecraft operational trajectory can be made as late as 3 weeks prior to lift-off. After receiving the data source, additional time is required by MAB to generate and verify the preflight information. Table III gives the responsible section for each area of preflight data, the earliest date the data source is available, and a preliminary estimate of the time required for output and verification of the preflight information after the data source has been received.

#### POWERED-FLIGHT PROCESSOR

This section describes the parameters and constants associated with the Powered-Flight Processor. At the time of this writing, no polynominals have been included in the processor formulation.

As stated in the introduction, this note does not include the preflight numbers for the S-IVB burn model. A prototype of the model<sup>3</sup> exists but the exact mode of implementation is not known. Early development flights of the S-IVB propulsion system will determine the mode of implementation.

The prototype burn model specifies thrust level and specific impulse as a function of time through the burn and predicts the mixture ratio shift. Implementation of this mode into the RTCC could result in a simple table-lookup scheme, or the resultant empirical model could be a more sophisticated system utilizing as many as 13 or 14 polynomials.

The RTCC formulation of the Powered-Flight Processor is described in reference 4.

The Powered-Flight Processor requires 1486 numbers to be stored preflight. The sum associated with each grouping is shown below.

		Numerical quantities
Parameters (22)		1460
Constants (26)		26
	TOTAL	1486

The 48 parameters and constants can be categorized into groups containing similar characteristics. These groups their associated requirements are:

		Numerical requirements
I.	Hypersurface	1350
II.	Parameters which are a function of injection opportunity and launch day	45
III.	Parameters which are a function of launch day	65
IV.	Constants	26
	TOTAL	1486

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A description of the S-IVB burn model is provided in reference 4.

The dimensioning of the groups is briefly described below.

I. <u>Hypersurface</u>. The hypersurface is an onboard S-IVB targeting scheme based on empirical data and is completely described in reference 4. The following parameters completely define the hypersurface and comprise part of the preflight data. Table IV gives the definition of each of these parameters.

Parameters:  $\cos \sigma$ ,  $C_3$ ,  $eN_n$ , RA, DEC, TT

Dimensions per parameter: 15 discrete azimuths

3 injection opportunities

5 launch days

Requirements: 1350 numbers

II. Parameters which are a function of injection opportunity and launch day.

Parameters:  $T_{stj}$ ,  $\beta_j$ ,  $\alpha^*_{tsj}$  (table IV)

Dimensions per parameter: 3 injection opportunities

5 launch days

Requirements: 45 numbers

III. Parameters which are a function of launch day.

Parameters: 13 quantities numbered 47-59 in table IV.

Dimensions per parameter: 5 launch days

Requirements: 65 numbers

IV. Constants

Constants: 26 constants numbered 60-85 in table IV.

Requirements: 26 numbers

All of the above information is generated from the launch vehicle guidance presettings. The earliest data source available for the launch vehicle guidance presettings is the launch vehicle reference trajectory.

This document is published by the Marshall Space Flight Center 7 months prior to the nominal mission launch date. Once this information has been received, the generation and verification of the preflight numbers will take 1 week. The primary source of responsibility for production of these numbers rests with the Maneuver Analysis Section of MAB.

#### RETURN-TO-EARTH PROCESSOR 4

This section defines the constants, coefficients and numbers associated with the Return-to-Earth Processor. There are 249 numerical quantities required as preflight information for the processor. These quantities can be grouped into three categories: 14 constants, 115 coefficients, and an aggregate of 120 numbers. The fourteen constants are enumerated and defined in table V.

The remaining preflight information is associated with the reentry computations for the return-to-earth trajectories. The coefficients, ll5 numbers, are required for the reentry curve fits. The reentry curve fits give the downrange, crossrange, and time from reentry to landing as a function of reentry state parameters. This information enables the processor to simulate a reentry profile for a landing state.

The remaining numbers, 120, are stored in table-lookup form and define the reentry target lines. The velocity and flight-path angle values stored in the table assure the spacecraft of a safe reentry. Current formulation of the reentry simulation for the processor specifies two reentry target lines. Each target line requires 60 numbers for adequate definition.

Prime responsibility for those constants associated with reentry (L/D, RR ems, RR gn, I max, V max, R ave), the reentry target lines, and the reentry curve fits rest with the Reentry Studies Section. Maneuver Analysis Section will provide the definition for the remaining constants. All numbers are now in the process of definition and should be available in the near future. The RTCC formulation for the processor is contained in reference 5.

<sup>4</sup>Reference 5 defines the RTCC formulation for the processor.

#### REENTRY PROCESSOR

The Reentry Processor requires 173 numbers as preflight data. Of these numbers, 68 are constants defined in table VI as guidance and DAP gains and constants.

The remaining 105 numbers describe the final phase reference trajectory. The final phase reference trajectory is a stored table of trajectory parameters based on a half-lift, nominal trajectory from which spacecraft steering commands are derived. The trajectory table is described on page 17 of reference 6, which also describes the RTCC formulation of the Reentry Processor.

The RTCC formulation documents the numerical values associated with each of the above quantities. The Reentry Studies Section considers these numerical values to be the nominal mission numbers for the lunar flights. The numbers will be subject to update; the responsibility for documentation of the updated numbers rests with the Reentry Studies Section.

TABLE I.- DEFINITION OF PARAMETERS AND CONSTANTS ASSOCIATED WITH

THE MCC AND TLI PROCESSORS.

1	ameter	Nominal		esson parar	r utilizing	Definition
1	or stant	quantity	TLI	MCC	Powered flight	
1.	Tnd	390	✓	√		Time of arrival at the node
2.	$^{\varphi}$ nd	390	✓	✓	:	EMP latitude at the node
3.	$\lambda_{ ext{nd}}$	390	✓	<b>√</b>		EMP longitude at the node
4.	R <sub>nd</sub>	390	✓	√		Radius of node
5.	H pc	150	✓	✓		Altitude at pericynthion
6.	φ <sub>pc</sub>	150	✓	✓		EMP latitude at pericynthion
7.	$^{\lambda}$ pc	150	√	1		EMF longitude at pericynthion
8.	Ifr	150	√	<b>√</b>		Inclination of the free- return trajectory with re- spect to the earth's equato- rial plane
9.	TLI	150	, ,/	1		Nominal time of translunar injection
10.	T pc	150	√	✓		Time of arrival at pericyn- thion
11.	δ	1	√			Declination of target vector
12.	σ	1	√		·	Perigee ring half-angle
13.	Rpc	1	√			Nominal radius at pericyn- thion
14.	Y <sub>loi</sub>	90	✓	✓		Flight-path angle at lunar orbit insertion
15.	$^{\Delta\psi}$ loi	90	√	1		Change in azimuth at lunar orbit insertion

TABLE I.- DEFINITION OF PARAMETERS AND CONSTANTS ASSOCIATED WITH
THE MCC AND TLI PROCESSORS. - Continued

Parameter	Nominal	Processor utilizing parameter			
or constant	quantity	TLI	MCC	Powered flight	Definition
16. AT <sub>lls</sub>	90	<b>✓</b>	√		Flight time from lunar orbit insertion to first pass over the lunar landing site
17. T <sub>lo</sub>	90	✓	✓		Total time spent in lunar orbit
lð. Υ <sub>tei</sub>	90	✓	√ .		Flight-path angle at transearth injection
19. $\Delta V_{ tei}$	90	✓	√		ΔV at transearth in- jection
20. MAX AT tli pe	90	✓	✓		Maximum trip time from translunar injection to pericynthion
21. Tmsn	30	✓	√		Total mission time
22. <sup>¢</sup> lls	5	✓	√		Selenographic latitude of the lunar landing site
23. λ <sub>lls</sub>	5	<b>/</b>	√		Selenographic longi- tude of the lunar landing site
24. Ψ <sub>lls</sub>	5	√	<b>V</b>		Azimuth over the lunar landing site
25. T <sub>LO</sub>	5	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	Reference time of lift- off
26. e <sub>eo</sub>	5	√	√	. √ 	Right ascension of launch site

TABLE I.- DEFINITION OF PARAMETERS AND CONSTANTS ASSOCIATED WITH

THE MCC AND TLI PROCESSORS. - Concluded

Parameter	Nominal	Processor utilizing parameter		_	Definition	
or constant	quantity	TLI	MCC	Powered flight	Delinition	
27. Δ <sup>T</sup> 6	1	1	✓	1	Delta time from time base six to S-IVB injec- tion	
28. YEAR	1	1	✓		Year of launch	
29. Ma	1	1			Adapter mass	
30. M cm	1	√	√		Command module (Struc- ture + 3 crew members + equipment + RCS fuel + life systems) mass	
31. M <sub>sm</sub>	. 1	1	✓		Service module (not including unusuable fuel) mass	
32. Muf	1	1	√ '		Mass of unusable fuel	
33. H <sub>lo</sub>	1	1	√		Altitude at start of lunar parking orbit	
34. T <sub>ls</sub>	1,	✓	✓		Lunar stay time	
35. R <sub>eoi</sub>	1	1			Nominal radius at pericynthion	
36. γ <sub>eoi</sub>	1	✓			Inertial flight-path angle at earth orbit insertion	
37. V <sub>eoi</sub>	1	1			Inertial velocity at earth orbit insertion	

TABLE II.- COEFFICIENTS FOR THE TLI POLYNOMIALS ASSOCIATED

WITH THE MCC AND TLI PROCESSORS

(a) Nominal mission

(b) Alternate mission

Parameter	Number of coefficients	Parameter	Number of coefficients
α	24	α	28
В	28	β	32
η + α	24	η + α	28
rp	24	r	28
ΔV	24	ΔV	28
Total	124	Total	144

TABLE III.- TLI AND MCC PROCESSOR INFORMATION

Area	Source	Agency responsible	Earliest date source available prior to mission, months	Output plus verification, weeks
Nodal targeting	LV and SC target objectives	LMDS and MAS	10	н
First guess computation	LV target objectives	LTS	7	~
Full-mission select mode	SC and LV target objectives	LTS	10	Н
Constraint on DPS abort	LV and SC target objectives plus con- straint from FAB	LTS and MAS	10	Н
Maximum $^{ m AT}$ tli pc	Parametric data	LTS	9 1/2	a
Earth orbit insertion state vector	LV OT	IMDS	3-5	г
TLI polynomials	TRW	MAS	1	1
Tmsn, φlls, λlls, ψlls YEAR, Hlo, Tls, Rc	ASPO and MAB	LTS	!	1
$^{T}_{LO}$ , $^{\theta}_{eo}$ , $^{\Delta T}_{6}$	LV OT	MAS	3-5	!
α, δ	Parametric data	LMDS	available now	! !
Ma, Mcm, Msm, Muf	٥.	٥.	٠.	¢•
(E, V, Y)eoi	LV RT	IMDS	7	1

TABLE IV.- DEFINITION OF PARAMETERS AND CONSTANTS
ASSOCIATED WITH THE POWERED-FLIGHT PROCESSOR

Parameter or constant	Numerical quantity	Definition
38. cos σ	225	Arc radius of perigee circle
39. c <sub>3</sub>	225	Desired cutoff energy
40. e <sub>n</sub>	225	Desired eccentricity at cutoff
41. RA	225	Right ascension of target vector
42. DEC	225	Declination of target vector
43. ТТ	225	Difference in time of launch from reference time of launch
44. T <sub>ST</sub> j	15	Coast time in EPO before restart test is entered for desired injection opportunity
45. β <sub>j</sub>	15	Central angle from radial at restart preparation initiation to node of EPO plane and desired cutoff plane
46. α <sub>TS</sub> j	15	Central angle from nodal vector, $\overline{S}$ , to target vector, $\overline{T}$ , at restart preparation initiation
17. f	5	Estimate of terminal range angle
48. K <sub>1</sub>	5	Coefficient of $\alpha_{ ext{ts}}$ polynomial
49. к <sub>2</sub>	5	Coefficient of $\alpha_{ts}$ polynomial
50. ω <sub>e</sub>	5	Earth's rotational rate-sidereal
51. DIGM	5	Constant delta time from TB6 to the time at which IGM is entered
52. K	5	Coefficient of pitch polynomial

TABLE IV. - DEFINITION OF PARAMETERS AND CONSTANTS ASSOCIATED

WITH THE POWERED-FLIGHT PROCESSOR - Continued

WITH THE TOWERD-FILIDIT TROOLDBOK - CONCINCE			
Parameter or constant	Numerical quantity	Definition	
53. K <sub>p2</sub>	5	Coefficient of pitch polynomial	
54. K <sub>yl</sub>	5	Coefficient of yaw polynomial	
55. K <sub>y2</sub>	5	Coefficient of yaw polynomial	
56. R <sub>n</sub>	5	Nominal radius at ignition	
57. T' <sub>3</sub>	5	Estimate of third (guidance) stage burn time	
58. K <sub>t3</sub>	5	Coefficient used to determine $^{\mathrm{T}}_{3}$	
59. τ <sub>3</sub>	5	Estimate of burn time for vehicle depletion during third IGM stage	
60. $\phi_{\rm L}$	1	Geodetic latitude of launch site	
61. T <sub>2</sub>	1	Second (guidance) stage burn time	
62. v <sub>ex2</sub>	1	Exhaust velocity - second IGM stage	
63. V <sub>ex3</sub>	1	Exhaust velocity - third IGM stage	
64. M <sub>2</sub>	1	Mass flow rate - second IGM stage	
65. M <sub>3</sub>	1	Mass flow rate - third IGM stage	
66. T <sub>b2</sub>	1	Transition time for mixture ratio shift	
67. K	1	Constant used to force mixture ratio in IGM shift	
68. ε <sub>1</sub>	1	Estimated burn time	
69. ε <sub>2</sub>	1	Estimated burn time	

TABLE IV.- DEFINITION OF PARAMETERS AND CONSTANTS ASSOCIATED
WITH THE POWERED-FLIGHT PROCESSOR - Concluded

Parameter or constant	Numerical quantity	Definition
70. ε <sub>3</sub>	1	Estimated burn time
71. ε <sub>μ</sub>	1	Estimated burn time
72. RØT	1	Flag: if RØT = 1, terminal conditions rotated; if RØT $\neq$ 1, terminal conditions not rotated
73. RØV	1	Constant for biasing terminal range angle prediction
74. ΔV <sub>b</sub>	1	Velocity cutoff bias
75. V <sub>tc</sub>	1	Velocity parameter used in cutoff logic
76. $\dot{x}_{y1}$	-1	Maximum allowable pitch rate
77. x <sub>zl</sub>	1	Maximum allowable yaw rate
78. τ <sub>2η</sub>	1	Parameter used in artificial tau modes
79. τ <sub>3n</sub>	1	Parameter used in artificial tau modes
80. c'	1	Parameter used in artificial tau modes
81. C'o	1	Parameter used in artificial tau modes
82. $\Delta T_{4m}$	1	Limit on difference in burn time, first S-IVB burn
83. At <sub>a</sub>	1	Time increment from ignition to 90% thrust
84. At <sub>b</sub>	1	Time increment from 90% thrust to thrust at mixture ratio 1
85. At <sub>m</sub>	1	Time increment from mixture ratio shift to "leveling out" of thrust at mixture ratio 2

TABLE V.- DEFINITION OF CONSTANTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ABORT PROCESSOR

C	onstant	Numerical quantity	Definition
86.	H pc min	1	Minimum acceptable pericynthion alti- tude for abort trajectories
87.	(L/D)	1	Current value of lift-to-drag needed by the reentry curve fits
88.	RR ems	1	Reentry range bias used to produce the range function for the EMS mode
89.	RR gn	1	Nominal reentry down range to the target impact point for the G and N mode in the EFCUA mode
90.	MD max	1 .	Maximum miss distance used in the tradeoff displays
91.	ΔΜΌ	1	Miss distance increment used in the tradeoff displays
92.	R a max	. 1	Abort radius limit for the EFCUA solution in the FCUA mode of the earth phase logic
93.	T armin	1	Minimum flight time between abort and reentry
94.	I r max	1	Maximum inclination at reentry
95.	V r max	1	Maximum reentry speed
96.	Rave	1	Mean radius at reentry
97.	<sup>e</sup> NE/RE	1	Eccentricity test value that selects either the NE or RE option in the tradeoff display
98.	R msi	1	Radius magnitude of the moon's sphere of influence utilized with the conic package
99.	R a min	1	Minimum abort radius

# TABLE VI.- DEFINITION OF CONSTANTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REENTRY PROCESSOR

(a) Guidance gains and constants

Const	ant	Numerical quantity	Definition
100.	Cl	1	Factor in ALP computation
101.	C16	1	Constant gain on drag
102.	C17	1	Constant gain on RDOT
103.	C18	1	Bias velocity for final phase start
104.	C20	1	Maximum drag for down-lift
105.	СНООК	1	Factor in AHOOK computation
106.	CHI	1	Factor in GAMMAL computation
107.	D2	1	Drag for changing values of LEWD
108.	DT	ŀ	Computation cycle-time interval
109.	GMAX	1	Maximum acceleration
110.	KA1	1	Factor in KA computation
111.	KA2	1	Factor in KA computation
112.	KA3	1	Factor in DO computation
113.	KA4	1	Factor in DO computation
114.	KBl	1	Optimized upcontrol gain
115.	KB2	1	Optimized upcontrol gain
116.	KDMIN	1	Increment on Q7 to detect end of Kepler phase
117.	KLAT	1	Lateral switch gain

TABLE VI.- DEFINITION OF CONSTANTS ASSOCIATED
WITH THE REENTRY PROCESSOR - Continued

Const	ant	Numerical quantity	Definition
118.	KTETA	1	Time of flight constant
119.	TN	1	Nominal time of flight
120.	K13P	1	Constant in FINAL PHASE
121.	LAD	1	Maximum L/D
122.	L/D CMINR	1	LAD cosine (15°)
123.	LEWD1	1	Upcontrol L/D
124.	LEWD2	1	Upcontrol L/D
125.	LOD	1	Final phase L/D
126.	POINT 1	1	Factor to reduce upcontrol gain
127.	Q2	. 1	Final phase range - 23 500 Q3
128.	Q3	1	Final phase D range/DV
129.	Q5	1	Final phase D range/D GAMMA
130.	Q6	1	Final phase initial flight-path angle
131.	Q7MIN	1	Constant in FACTOR
132.	Q7F	1	Minimum drag for upcontrol
133.	Q19	1	Constant in GAMMAL 1
134.	VSLOW	1	If V less than VSLOW, LEWD = 0.2
135.	VLMIN	1	Minimum VL
136.	VMIN	1	Minimum velocity to switch to relative velocity

TABLE VI.- DEFINITION OF CONSTANTS ASSOCIATED

WITH THE REENTRY PROCESSOR - Continued

Const	ant	Numerical quantity	Definition
137.	VRCONTROL	1	RDOT to start into HUNTEST
138.	25 NM	1	Tolerance to stop range iteration
139.	LATBIAS	1	Lateral switch bias term
140.	VQUIT	1	Velocity to stop steering
141.	K44	1	Initial attitude gain
142.	VFINAL 1	1	Velocity to start final phase on INITENTRY
143.	VFINAL	1	Factor in initial attitude
144.	VCORLIM	1	Maximum values of VCORR
145.	ATK	1	Angle in RAD to NM
146.	GS	1	Nominal value of G for scaling
147.	HS	1	Atmosphere scale height
148.	RE	1	Earth radius
149.	VSAT	1	Satellite velocity at RE
150.	WIE	1	Earth rate
151.	KWE	1	Equatorial earth rate
		(b) DAP e	gains and constants
152.	ANGMAX	1	Maximum angular velocity allowed about the X-body axis
153.	Al	1	Acceleration term used to calculate firing intervals
154.	A2	1	Acceleration term used to calculate firing intervals

TABLE VI.- DEFINITION OF CONSTANTS ASSOCIATED
WITH THE REENTRY PROCESSOR - Concluded

Const	ant	Numerical quantity	Definition
155.	KLAG1	1	Interval switching logic to fire appropriate roll jets or to coast
156.	KLAG2	1	Interval switching logic to fire appropriate roll jets or to coast
157.	KLAG3	1	Interval switching logic to fire appropriate roll jets or to coast
158.	М	1	Interval counter to allow implementation of roll commands on 0.1-second intervals
159.	RAEMIN	1	Roll deadband
160.	SLOPE	1	Factor to obtain desired rate of error reduction
161.	SWTCH1	1	Internal switching to delay roll command
162.	SWTCH2	1	Internal switching to delay roll command
163.	SWTCH3	. 1	Internal switching to delay roll command
164.	TIMINT	1	Integration time interval
165.	XAMT	1	DAP sampling frequency for time interval of TIMINT
166.	VZ	1	Roll rate deadband
167.	XS	1	Control line intercept value

#### REFERENCES

- 1. McCaffety, Brody O.; Sellers, Donald R.; and Yencharis, Jerome D.: AS-503A/AS-504A Requirements for the RTCC: Translunar Injection Processor. MSC IN 67-FM-90, June 29, 1967.
- 2. Morrey, Bernard F.; and Sellers, Donald R.: AS-504A Requirements for the RTCC: The Translunar Midcourse Correction Processor. MSC IN 67-FM-80, June 19. 1967.
- 3. Yencharis, Jerome D.: AS-503A/AS-504A Requirements for the RTCC: Empirical Equations for Simulating the Translunar Injection and Lunar Orbit Insertion Maneuvers. MSC IN 67-FM-96, July 14, 1967.
- 4. Fridge, Ernest M.; and Yencharis, Jerome D.: AS-503A/AS-504A Requirements for the RTCC: Powered-flight Simulations. MSC IN 67-FM-82.
- 5. Davis, Robert S.; Gafford, D. M.; and Lee, W. R.: AS-503A/AS-504A Requirements for the RTCC: Return-to-Earth Abort Processor. MSC IN 67-FM-134, September 25, 1967.
- 6. Tolin, James W. Jr.; Hill, Oliver; Harpold, Jon C.; and Rogers, Joseph E.: AS-503A/AS-504A Requirements for the RTCC: Reentry Phase. MSC IN 67-FM-57, July 3, 1967.